

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/706,112	SWARTZ, JEROME
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jared J. Fureman	2876

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO). (3) _____.

(2) Mr. G. Roger Lee (28,963). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Lee authorized an examiner's amendment to claim 20. The examiner's amendment was necessary in order to remove any claim objections and/or rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, in order to clarify the claims and place the application in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required